JOINT REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES



meeting date: WEDNESDAY, 4 OCTOBER 2006

time: 7:00 - 8:00 PM

Iocation: Tempe City Hall – City Council Chambers

members in attendance: Jodi Beckley Liggett, Ray Jensen, Pen Johnson, Doug McQueen,

Mark Thompson, Wellington "Duke" Reiter

members excused: Scott Cole

not in attendance:

Tempe staff present: Eric Hansen [CD], Gina Huerta [Assistant City Attorney],

Chris Messer [CD], Steve Venker [DS]

ASU staff present: Steve Nielsen

other guests:

meeting called to order: 7:04 PM by Mark Thompson

A. INTRODUCTION

City of Tempe staff, ASU staff, JRC Committee Members

B. MINUTES

1. No Minutes to approve

C. AGENDA

2. Rules of Procedures

Review the draft *Rules of Procedures* for adoption.

- Suggestion to amend draft Rules of Procedures "Rule 10" which limits the debate to five minutes
- No, let's not change the time duration

- If there's a large volume of people, should we specify 2-3 minutes?
- Q: Can Chair establish duration of discussion for particular issue?
- A: [Assistant City Attorney] Yes, you can set a time limit.
- MOTION: [JBL] On page 3, Rule 10, insert, "Or length of time determined by the chair" after, "...for a longer time than five minutes."
- MOTION SECOND: [DM]
- This time duration should be announced before the meeting starts
- Q: What is staff's experience with commissions?
- A: City Council meetings are the only public meetings with a time limit. Unscheduled is 2-3 minutes. Scheduled is 5 minutes.
- It is also the discretion of the chair to ask that only new information be presented. The last sentence in "Rule 10" addresses this.
- VOTE: 6 0. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
- Suggestion to request name and city of residence on speaker cards for the formal record as opposed to name and city address to accommodate general privacy concerns.
- Solution: Request name and city of residence.
- MOTION: [RJ] To adopt draft Joint Review Committee Rules of Procedures with previously approved amendment to "Rule 10."
- MOTION SECOND: [PJ]
- VOTE: 6 0. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

D. ANNOUNCEMENTS

No announcements

E. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

A commercial development along Apache Blvd. called "South Campus Student Housing" has requested a special meeting this month due to lengthy community process and special timing.

- There were 75 people at neighborhood meeting last night regarding the residential and parking structure components – seen as the issues critical to this application.
- Q: Have these components been separated from the commercial application?
- A: Yes. The jurisdiction of the Mu-Ed Zoning District includes retail use that is open to the general public. Residential and parking uses solely for the University do not fall under the jurisdiction of the JRC.

- Since this project is mostly residential, what should be the precedence of warranting a special meeting?
- Q: Is the retail as critical and time sensitive as the parking / residential to the academic calendar?
- A: Yes. There is a very narrow window of time. If they miss it by one week, they'll be off for a year.
- Why raise the red flag by calling for a special meeting?
- This is not a good idea.
- Q: What has taken place to date on this project?
- A: Three [3] neighborhood meetings, one [1] special meeting and one [1] focus group.
- If the phasing of the projects is an issue, we must be clear about JRC's review, jurisdiction [i.e. 150' rights-of-way] and authority.
- General consensus to continue with regularly scheduled JRC calendar.

F. POST SESSION

No Post Session items this month

Meeting adjourned:	7:36 PM by Mark Thompson
Minutes scheduled for JRC add	option on 1 NOV 2006.
Mark Thompson, JRC Chair	
RDEVPUB\+Projects\ASU\JointReview	vCommission\Minutes\04OCT06.doc
JointReviewCommission\Minutes\04O0	CT06.doc filed City Clerk//06 Krosschell, Connie; Fillmore, Karen; Stennerson, Julia

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY • CITY OF TEMPE • **JOINT REVIEW COMMITTEE** Agendas + Meeting Minutes • www.tempe.gov/comdev/JointReviewCommittee.htm